arXiv:1702.03922v1 [math.RA] 10 Feb 2017

# On free GDN superalgebras and a PBW type theorem*

Zerui Zhang†

School of Mathematical Sciences, South China Normal University

Guangzhou 510631, P. R. China

295841340@qq.com

L. A. Bokut‡

School of Mathematical Sciences, South China Normal University

Guangzhou 510631, P. R. China

Sobolev Institute of mathematics, Novosibirsk, 630090

Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk 630090, Russia

bokut@math.nsc.ru

Yuqun Chen§

School of Mathematical Sciences, South China Normal University

Guangzhou 510631, P. R. China

yqchen@scnu.edu.cn

**Abstract:** We construct a linear basis of a free GDN superalgebra over a field of characteristic $\neq 2$. As applications, we prove a PBW theorem, that is, any GDN superalgebra can be embedded into its universal enveloping commutative associative differential superalgebra. An Engel theorem under some assumptions is given.

*Supported by the NNSF of China (11571121) and the Science and Technology Program of Guangzhou (201605121833161).

†Supported by the Innovation Project of Graduate School of South China Normal University.

‡Supported by Russian Science Foundation (project 14-21-00065).

§Corresponding author.

**Key words:** GDN superalgebra; Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem; nilpotency.
**AMS** Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 16S15, 17A30, 17A70, 17B30.

# 1 Introduction

Novikov or Gelfand-Dorfman-Novikov (GDN) algebras were invented at the end of 1970-th to the middle of 1980-th ([3, 14, 20]). It is an important subclass of preLie (Cailey-Vinberg-Kozule-Gerstenhaber) algebras (see [7]). By the way let us mention that at the same time a fundamental theory of Krichver-Novikov infinite dimensional Lie algebras were established with applications to geometry and mathematical physics [17–19], see also [23, 24].

A rich structure and combinatorial theory of Novikov (GDN) algebras had been done up to now. It began with Zelmanov's solution to Novikov's problem on classification of simple GDN algebras over an algebraically closed field: There are no such algebras besides trivial ([20, 28]). J.M. Osborn and E.I. Zelmanov [21] classified simple GDN algebras $A$ over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 with a maximal subalgebra $H$ such that $A/H$ has a finite dimensional irreducible $H$-submodule (V. Gullimen's type of condition) (up to isomorphisms of B. Weisfeiler associated graded algebras). Xiaoping Xu [25] gave a complete classification of finite-dimensional simple Novikov algebras and their irreducible modules over an algebraically closed field with prime characteristic. He studied Novikov (GDN) superalgebras in [26, 27]. E.I. Zelmanov [28] proved that, if $\mathcal{A}$ is a left-nilpotent finite-dimensional (right) GDN algebra (right symmetric, left commutative) over a field of characteristic zero, then $\mathcal{A}^2$ is nilpotent. V.T. Filippov [13] proved that a (right) nil-algebra of bounded index over a field of characteristic zero is right nilpotent provided that it is right symmetric and nilpotent provided that it is a (right) GDN algebra. In the proof of these assertions, he heavily used the Zelmanov theorem [29] asserting that a Lie algebra over a field of characteristic zero is nilpotent if it satisfies the $n$th Engel condition. A.S. Dzhumadildaev and K.M. Tulenbaev [12] proved that if a (right) GDN algebra $\mathcal{A}$ over a field of characteristic $p$ is a left-nil GDN algebra of bounded index $n$ and $p = 0$ or $p > n$, then $\mathcal{A}^2$ is nilpotent. A.S. Dzhumadil'daev and C. Löfwall [11] found structure of a free GDN algebra using trees and a free commutative associative differential algebra.

See also, for example, C. Bai and D. Meng [1, 2], D. Burde and K. Dekimpe [8], L. Chen, Y. Niu and D. Meng [9], Y. Kang and Z. Chen [16], Y. Pei and C. Bai [22], F. Zhu and Z. Chen [30], L.A. Bokut, Y. Chen and Z. Zhang [5, 6].

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we construct a linear basis of a free GDN superalgebra over a field of characteristic $\neq 2$. (For the case of characteristic 2, GDN superalgebra is the same as GDN algebras, so the basis is known [11]). In section 3 we prove a PBW theorem for GDN superalgebras. In section 4 we prove an Engel theorem under some assumptions.

In sections 2 and 3, we only consider algebras over a field $k$ of characteristic $\neq 2$. We will denote by $a, b, c$ the elements in $X$, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ elements in the field $k$, $u, v, w$ words, $f, g$ linear combinations of words, $x, y, z$ elements in an algebra $\mathcal{A}$. In section 4, we only consider algebras over a field $k$ of characteristic 0.

# 2 Free GDN superalgebras

A (left) *GDN algebra* ([3, 14, 20]) $\mathcal{A}$ is a vector space with a binary linear operation $\circ$ satisfying

$$x \circ (y \circ z) - (x \circ y) \circ z = y \circ (x \circ z) - (y \circ x) \circ z \text{ (left symmetry)},$$

$$(x \circ y) \circ z = (x \circ z) \circ y \text{ (right commutativity)}$$

for any $x, y, z \in \mathcal{A}$.

A *GDN superalgebra* ([27]) is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded vector space $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_0 \oplus \mathcal{A}_1$ with a bilinear product $\circ$ satisfying

$$x \circ (y \circ z) - (x \circ y) \circ z = (-1)^{|x||y|}(y \circ (x \circ z) - (y \circ x) \circ z) \text{ (left symmetry)},$$

$$(x \circ y) \circ z = (-1)^{|y||z|}(x \circ z) \circ y \text{ (right commutativity)}$$

for any $x, y, z \in \mathcal{A}_0 \cup \mathcal{A}_1$.

Throughout the paper, we only consider algebras over field of characteristic $\neq$2, except where otherwise specified.

Let $GDN(X)_{sup}$ be a free GDN superalgebra generated by a well-ordered set $X = X_0 \cup X_1$ over a field $k$. We call $w$ a *Gelfand-Dorfman-Novikov supertableau* (GDN supertableau for short) over $X$, if

$$w = (\cdots((a_{1,r_1+1} \circ A_1) \circ A_2) \circ \cdots \circ A_n) \tag{1}$$

(left-normed bracketing), where $A_i = (a_{i,r_i} \circ \cdots \circ (a_{i,3} \circ (a_{i,2} \circ a_{i,1}))\cdots)$ (right-normed bracketing), $1 \leq i \leq n,\ a_{i,j} \in X$, satisfying that

1. $r_1 \geq \cdots \geq r_n$;
2. If $r_i = r_{i+1}$, then $a_{i,1} \geq a_{i+1,1}$;
3. $a_{1,r_1+1} \geq a_{1,r_1} \cdots \geq a_{1,2} \geq a_{2,r_2} \geq \cdots \geq a_{2,2} \geq \cdots \geq a_{n,r_n} \geq \cdots \geq a_{n,2}$;
4. $a_{i,j} \neq a_{t,l}$, if $a_{i,j}, a_{t,l} \in X_1$ and $j, l \geq 2$;
5. $a_{i,1} \neq a_{i+1,1}$, if $r_i = r_{i+1}$ and $a_{i,1}, a_{i+1,1} \in X_1$.

We call $w$ a *Gelfand-Dorfman-Novikov tableau* (GDN tableau for short), if the first three conditions hold.

We call $(a_r \circ \cdots \circ (a_3 \circ (a_2 \circ a_1))\cdots)$ (right-normed bracketing, $1 \leq i \leq n,\ a_i \in X$) a *row*.

For such a GDN supertableau $w$, define

$$|w|_X = r_1 + \cdots + r_n + 1.$$

We will prove that the set of the GDN supertableaux over $X$ is a linear basis of $GDN(X)_{sup}$ if the characteristic of $k$ is not 2.

As usual, let $F(\Omega, X)$ be a free $\Omega$-*algebra* generated by $X$, where $\Omega$ is a given set of operator symbols and $X$ is a non-vacuous set. The set of *word*s in $F(\Omega, X)$ is denoted by $W(\Omega, X)$ (for definitions of $\Omega$-algebra and words, see [4] and Chapter 2 in [15]). For example, (i) $x$ is a word in $GDN(X)_{sup}$ for any $x \in X$; (ii) If $u, v$ are words in $GDN(X)_{sup}$, then $\circ uv$ are words in $GDN(X)_{sup}$. For convenience, we will write $(u\delta v)$ instead of $\delta uv$ if $\delta$ is a binary operator. We always omit the leftmost "(" and the rightmost ")" of a word.

Moreover, if $\delta$ is associative, we will write $u\delta v\delta w$ or $uvw$ instead of $(u\delta v\delta w)$ (with any bracketing). For example, $(a\circ b)\circ(c\circ a)$ is a word in $GDN(X)_{sup}$.

For any words $w_1, w_2, \cdots, w_n \in GDN(X)_{sup}$, define

$$[w_1\circ w_2\circ\cdots\circ w_n]_L = (\cdots((w_1\circ w_2)\circ w_3)\circ\cdots\circ w_{n-1})\circ w_n \text{ (left-normed bracketing)},$$

$$[w_1\circ w_2\circ\cdots\circ w_n]_R = w_1\circ(w_2\circ\cdots\circ(w_{n-2}\circ(w_{n-1}\circ w_n))\cdots) \text{ (right-normed bracketing)}.$$

A *commutative associative differential superalgebra* is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded associative algebra $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}_0\oplus\mathcal{A}_1$ with a linear derivation $D$ of degree 0, which satisfies

$$D(u\cdot v)=Du\cdot v+u\cdot Dv=Duv+uDv,\ u\cdot v=(-1)^{|u||v|}v\cdot u=(-1)^{|u||v|}vu,$$

for any $u,v\in\mathcal{A}_0\cup\mathcal{A}_1$. Let $k\{X\}_{sup}$ be a free commutative associative differential superalgebra generated by a well-ordered set $X=X_0\cup X_1$ and $kD(X)$ be a free associative differential algebra generated by $X$. Then it is clear that $k\{X\}_{sup}\cong kD(X|D^ia\cdot D^jb=(-1)^{|a||b|}D^jb\cdot D^ia, i,j\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, a,b\in X)$, where $|a|=1$ if $a\in X_1$ and $|a|=0$ if $a\in X_0$.

Define $D^0a:=a, a\in X$. Let $(D^\omega X)^*$ be the free monoid generated by the set $D^\omega X:=\{D^ia\mid a\in X, i\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$. Then $(D^\omega X)^*$ is a $k$-basis of $kD(X)$. Define $D^ia>D^jb$ if $(i,a)>(j,b)$ lexicographically. Set $deg(D^ia)=1$. Define the *deg-lex order* on $(D^\omega X)^*$ as follows: for any $u=x_1\cdots x_n,\ v=y_1\cdots y_m\in(D^\omega X)^*$, where each $x_i,\ y_j\in D^\omega X$, $u>v$ if and only if $n>m,$ or $n=m$ and for some $p\leq n, x_p>y_p, x_i=y_i$ for all $1\leq i\leq p-1$.

Then it is clear that the deg-lex order on $(D^\omega X)^*$ is a monomial order in the sense of [10], in which the Gröbner-Shirshov bases theory for associative differential algebras was established. Let $w=D^{r_1}a_1D^{r_2}a_2\cdots D^{r_n}a_n\in(D^\omega X)^*,\ n\geq 0,\ (w=1 \text{ if } n=0)$. Then we call $w$ a *reverse-normal superword* over $X=X_0\cup X_1$ if the following conditions hold: (i) $(r_1,a_1)\leq\cdots\leq(r_n,a_n)$ lexicographiclly; (ii) if $a_j=a_{j+1}, |a_j|=|a_{j+1}|=1$ for some $1\leq j\leq n-1$, then $r_j<r_{j+1}$. We call $w$ a *normal superword* over $X=X_0\cup X_1$ if the following conditions hold: (i) $(r_1,a_1)\geq\cdots\geq(r_n,a_n)$ lexicographiclly; (ii) if $a_j=a_{j+1}, |a_j|=|a_{j+1}|=1$ for some $1\leq j\leq n-1$, then $r_j>r_{j+1}$.

Let

$$S=\{D^ia\cdot D^jb=(-1)^{|a||b|}D^jb\cdot D^ia,\ D^tc\cdot D^tc=0\mid i,j,t\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, a,b\in X, c\in X_1\}.$$

Then it is clear that $k\{X\}_{sup}\cong kD(X|S)$. Moreover, we have the following lemma:

**Lemma 2.1.** *$S$ is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $kD(X)$ and $[D^\omega X] := \{w \mid w$ is a normal superword over $X\}$ is a $k$-basis of $k\{X\}_{sup}$.*

**Proof.** It is easy to check that the compositions in $S$ are trivial. For example, if $D^i a > D^j b$, then $D^p(D^i a \cdot D^j b - (-1)^{|a||b|} D^j b \cdot D^i a) \equiv D^{i+p} a \cdot D^j b - (-1)^{|a||b|} D^j b \cdot D^{i+p} a \mod(S, D^{i+p} a \cdot D^j b)$. So if $D^i a > D^j b > D^m c,\ j = j_1 + q$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
& D^p(D^i a \cdot D^j b - (-1)^{|a||b|} D^j b \cdot D^i a) \cdot D^m c - D^{i+p} a \cdot D^q (D^{j_1} b \cdot D^m c - (-1)^{|b||c|} \cdot D^m c \cdot D^{j_1} b) \\
\equiv\ & D^{i+p} a \cdot D^j b \cdot D^m c - (-1)^{|a||b|} D^j b \cdot D^{i+p} a \cdot D^m c \\
& - (D^{i+p} a \cdot D^{q+j_1} b \cdot D^m c - (-1)^{|b||c|} D^{i+p} a \cdot D^m c \cdot D^{q+j_1} b) \\
\equiv\ & -(-1)^{|a||b|} D^j b \cdot D^{i+p} a \cdot D^m c + (-1)^{|b||c|} D^{i+p} a \cdot D^m c \cdot D^{q+j_1} b \\
\equiv\ & -(-1)^{|a||b|+|a||c|} D^j b \cdot D^m c \cdot D^{i+p} a + (-1)^{|b||c|+|a||c|} D^m c \cdot D^{i+p} a \cdot D^{q+j_1} b \\
\equiv\ & -(-1)^{|a||b|+|a||c|+|b||c|} D^m c \cdot D^j b \cdot D^{i+p} a + (-1)^{|b||c|+|a||c|+|a||b|} D^m c \cdot D^{q+j_1} b \cdot D^{i+p} a \\
\equiv\ & 0 \mod (S, D^{i+p} a \cdot D^j b \cdot D^m c).
\end{aligned}$$

Then $\{w \mid w$ is a reverse-normal superword over $X\}$ is a $k$-basis of $k\{X\}_{sup}$ by Theorem 3.12. in [10]. Moreover, say $w = D^{r_1} a_1 D^{r_2} a_2 \cdots D^{r_n} a_n$ is a reverse-normal superword over $X$, then $w' = D^{r_n} a_n D^{r_n - 1} a_{n-1} \cdots D^{r_1} a_1$ is a normal superword and $w' = \alpha w$, $\alpha \in \{1, -1\}$. The lemma follows immediately. ∎

Let $w = D^{r_1} a_1 D^{r_2} a_2 \cdots D^{r_n} a_n$ be a normal superword. Define

$$|w|_X = n,$$

$$wt(w) = r_1 + r_2 + \cdots + r_n - n + 1,$$

$$ord(w) = (|w|_X, r_1, a_1, \ldots, r_n, a_n)$$

and

$$w_1 > w_2 \Leftrightarrow ord(w_1) > ord(w_2) \text{ lexicographically.}$$

Furthermore, if we define $\circ$ on $k\{X\}_{sup}$ as

$$f \circ g = f \cdot Dg = fDg, \quad f, g \in k\{X\}_{sup},$$

then it is straightforward to show that $(k\{X\}_{sup}, \circ)$ becomes a GDN superalgebra. It is clear that its subspace,

$$GDN_0(X)_{sup} := span_k\{w \in [D^\omega X] \mid wt(w) = 0\},$$

is a subalgebra of $(k\{X\}_{sup}, \circ)$ (as GDN superalgebra).

**Definition 2.1.** A *root function* from the set of words in a free non-associative algebra $(kX^{**}, \circ)$ to the set of natural number $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ is defined as follows*:*

(i) $r(a) = 0$ for any $a \in X$;

(ii) $r(w_1 \circ w_2) = r(w_1) + 1$ if $w_2 \in X$, and $r(w_1 \circ w_2) = r(w_1) + r(w_2)$ if $w_2 \notin X$.

We call $r(w)$ the *root number* of $w$. It is clear that $r(w) \geq 1$ if $w \notin X$. It follows that $r(w_1 \circ w_2) = r(w_1) + max\{1, r(w_2)\}$. For any word $w \in GDN(X)_{sup}$, we can take $w$ as a word in $(kX^{**}, \circ)$, so $r(w)$ also makes sense.

**Example 2.1.** *By definition, for any $a_i \in X, i \geq 1$, we have*

1. $r([a_1 \circ a_2 \circ \cdots \circ a_n]_R) = 1$*;*
2. $r([a_1 \circ a_2 \circ \cdots \circ a_n]_L) = n - 1$*;*
3. $r((a_1 \circ a_2) \circ (a_3 \circ a_4)) = 2$.

**Lemma 2.2.** *For any $x, y, z \in (X^{**}, \circ)$, if $w_1 = (x \circ y) \circ z, w_2 = (x \circ z) \circ y$, then $r(w_1) = r(w_2)$.*

**Proof.** $r(w_1) = r(x) + max\{1, r(y)\} + max\{1, r(z)\} = r(w_2)$. ∎

**Lemma 2.3.** *For any $w_1, w_2, w \in (X^{**}, \circ)$, if $r(w_1) > r(w_2)$, then*

*(i)* $r(w_1 \circ w) > r(w_2 \circ w)$*;*

*(ii)* $r(w \circ w_1) > r(w \circ w_2)$*, if* $r(w_1) > 1$*;*

*(iii)* $r(w \circ w_1) = r(w \circ w_2)$*, if* $r(w_1) = 1$.

**Proof.** Since $r(w_1 \circ w_2) = r(w_1) + max\{1, r(w_2)\}$, the lemma follows immediately. ∎

**Lemma 2.4.** *For any word $w \in GDN(X)_{sup}$ with $|w|_X = n$, we have $r(w) \leq n - 1$ and $r(w) = n - 1$ if and only if $w = [a_1 \circ a_2 \cdots \circ a_n]_L$ for some $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in X$.*

**Proof.** By Example 2.1, we just need to prove (i) $r(w) \leq n-1$, (ii) $r(w) = n-1$ implies that $w = [a_1 \circ a_2 \cdots \circ a_n]_L$, where each $a_i \in X$. Induction on $|w|_X$. If $|w|_X = 1$, it is clear. Suppose that the result holds for any $w$ with $|w|_X < n$. Let $|w|_X = n > 1$ and $w = w_1 \circ w_2$. If $|w_2|_X > 1$, then $r(w) = r(w_1) + r(w_2) \leq (|w_1|_X - 1) + (|w_2|_X - 1) = |w|_X - 2 < n-1$ by induction. So $r(w) = n-1$ implies that $w_2 = a_n$ and $w_1 = [a_1 \circ a_2 \cdots \circ a_{n-1}]_L$ by induction. ∎

For any words $u, v \in GDN(X)_{sup}$, $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in k$, $\beta_1 \neq 0$, we denote

$$\beta_1 u \to \beta_2 v,$$

if $\beta_1 u = \beta_2 v + \sum \alpha_i w_i$, for some words $w_i \in GDN(X)_{sup}$, $\alpha_i \in k$ with $r(u) = r(v) < r(w_i), |u|_X = |v|_X = |w_i|_X$. If this is the case, we also denote it as

$$u \rightsquigarrow v \text{ if } \beta_2 \neq 0 \text{ and } u \rightsquigarrow 0 \text{ if } \beta_2 = 0.$$

Moreover, if $u = (a_1 \circ a_2 \circ \cdots \circ a_r)$ (with any bracketing), then we define

$$|a_1 a_2 \cdots a_r| := |u|.$$

For any $A = [a_r \circ \cdots \circ a_2 \circ a_1]_R$, we define

$$A_{\hat{a}_i} := [a_r \circ \cdots \circ a_{i+1} \circ a_{i-1} \circ \cdots \circ a_2 \circ a_1]_R,\ A_{a_i \mapsto b_j} := [a_r \circ \cdots \circ a_{i+1} \circ b_j \circ a_{i-1} \circ \cdots \circ a_2 \circ a_1]_R.$$

**Lemma 2.5.** *For any $A = [a_r \circ \cdots \circ a_2 \circ a_1]_R \in GDN(X)_{sup}, r \geq 3$, we have*

*(i)* $A \to (-1)^{|a_2||a_3 a_4 \cdots a_r|}[a_2 \circ a_r \circ \cdots \circ a_3 \circ a_1]_R$;

*(ii)* $A \to (-1)^{|a_2||a_3 a_4 \cdots a_r| + |a_r||a_3 a_4 \cdots a_{r-1}|}[a_2 \circ a_{r-1} \circ \cdots \circ a_3 \circ a_r \circ a_1]_R$;

*(iii)* $A \to (-1)^{|a_i||a_j \cdots a_{i-1}| + |a_j||a_{j+1} \cdots a_{i-1}|}[a_r \circ \cdots \circ a_j \circ \cdots \circ a_i \circ \cdots \circ a_2 \circ a_1]_R$, *for any* $r \geq i > j \geq 2$.

**Proof.** Since

$$\begin{aligned} A &= a_r \circ [a_{r-1} \circ \cdots \circ a_4 \circ (a_3 \circ a_2) \circ a_1]_R + (-1)^{|a_2||a_3|}[a_r \circ a_{r-1} \circ \cdots \circ a_4 \circ a_2 \circ a_3 \circ a_1]_R \\ &\quad -(-1)^{|a_2||a_3|}[a_r \circ a_{r-1} \circ \cdots \circ a_4 \circ (a_2 \circ a_3) \circ a_1]_R, \end{aligned}$$

we have $A \to (-1)^{|a_2||a_3|}[a_r \circ a_{r-1} \circ \cdots \circ a_4 \circ a_2 \circ a_3 \circ a_1]_R$. By the same reasoning, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A &\to (-1)^{|a_2||a_3|}[a_r \circ a_{r-1} \circ \cdots \circ a_4 \circ a_2 \circ a_3 \circ a_1]_R \\ &\to (-1)^{|a_2||a_3a_4|}[a_r \circ a_{r-1} \circ \cdots \circ a_2 \circ a_4 \circ a_3 \circ a_1]_R \\ &\to \cdots \\ &\to (-1)^{|a_2||a_3\cdots a_r|}[a_2 \circ a_r \circ a_{r-1} \circ \cdots \circ a_4 \circ a_3 \circ a_1]_R. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we get $(ii)$ and $(iii)$. ∎

**Lemma 2.6.** *For any word $w = A \circ B = [a_{r+1} \circ \cdots \circ a_2 \circ a_1]_R \circ [b_m \circ \cdots \circ b_2 \circ b_1]_R \in GDN(X)_{sup}$, we can interchange $a_i, b_j, i, j \geq 2$ in the sense that*

$$w \to (-1)^{|a_i||a_{i-1}\cdots a_1 b_m \cdots b_j|+|b_j||a_{i-1}\cdots a_1 b_m \cdots b_{j+1}|} A_{a_i \mapsto b_j} \circ B_{b_j \mapsto a_i}.$$

*In particular, if $r = m$, $a_1 = b_1$, $|a_1| = 1$, then we get $w \to -w$. Since **char** $k \neq 2$, we have $w \to 0$, i.e., $w$ can be written as a linear combination of words that have larger root numbers.*

**Proof.** By Lemma 2.5, we get

$$\begin{aligned} w &\to (-1)^{|a_i||a_{i+1}\cdots a_{r+1}|}(a_i \circ A_{\hat{a}_i}) \circ B \\ &\to (-1)^{|a_i||a_{i+1}\cdots a_{r+1}|+|a_1\cdots\hat{a}_i\cdots a_{r+1}||b_1\cdots b_m|}(a_i \circ B) \circ A_{\hat{a}_i} \\ &\to (-1)^{|a_i||a_{i+1}\cdots a_{r+1}|+|a_1\cdots\hat{a}_i\cdots a_{r+1}||b_1\cdots b_m|+|a_i||b_j\cdots b_m|+|b_j||b_{j+1}\cdots b_m|}(b_j \circ B_{b_j \mapsto a_i}) \circ A_{\hat{a}_i} \\ &\to (-1)^{|a_i||a_{i+1}\cdots a_{r+1}|+|A||B|+|a_i||b_1\cdots b_{j-1}|+|b_j||b_{j+1}\cdots b_m|+|A_{\hat{a}_i}||B_{b_j \mapsto a_i}|}(b_j \circ A_{\hat{a}_i}) \circ B_{b_j \mapsto a_i} \\ &\to (-1)^{|a_i||a_{i+1}\cdots a_{r+1}b_1\cdots b_{j-1}|+|A||B|+|b_j||a_{i+1}\cdots a_{r+1}b_{j+1}\cdots b_m|+|A_{\hat{a}_i}||B_{b_j \mapsto a_i}|} A_{a_i \mapsto b_j} \circ B_{b_j \mapsto a_i} \\ &\to (-1)^{|a_i||a_{i-1}\cdots a_1 b_m \cdots b_{j+1}|+|b_j||a_i\cdots a_1 b_{j+1}\cdots b_m|} A_{a_i \mapsto b_j} \circ B_{b_j \mapsto a_i}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if $r = m$, $a_1 = b_1$, $|a_1| = 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
w &\to (-1)^{|a_r||a_{r-1}\cdots a_1|+|b_r||a_r\cdots a_1|}A_{a_r\mapsto b_r}\circ B_{b_r\mapsto a_r}\\
&\to (-1)^{|a_r||a_{r-1}\cdots a_1|+|b_rb_{r-1}||a_r\cdots a_1|+|a_{r-1}||a_r\hat{a}_{r-1}\cdots a_1|}(A_{a_r\mapsto b_r})_{a_{r-1}\mapsto b_{r-1}}\circ(B_{b_r\mapsto a_r})_{b_{r-1}\mapsto a_{r-1}}\\
&\to \cdots\\
&\to (-1)^{|a_ra_{r-1}\cdots a_2|+|a_ra_{r-1}\cdots a_2||a_r\cdots a_1|+|b_rb_{r-1}\cdots b_2||a_r\cdots a_1|}(a_{r+1}\circ B_{b_1\mapsto a_1})\circ(A_{\hat{a}_{r+1}})_{a_1\mapsto b_1}\\
&\to (-1)^{|a_r\cdots a_2||a_1|+|b_r\cdots b_2||a_r\cdots a_1|+|a_ra_{r-1}\cdots a_2b_1||b_rb_{r-1}\cdots b_2a_1|}(a_{r+1}\circ(A_{\hat{a}_{r+1}})_{a_1\mapsto b_1})\circ B_{b_1\mapsto a_1}\\
&= (-1)^{|a_r\cdots a_2||a_1|+|b_r\cdots b_2||a_r\cdots a_1|+|a_ra_{r-1}\cdots a_2b_1||b_rb_{r-1}\cdots b_2a_1|}A\circ B\ \ (\text{ for } a_1=b_1)\\
&= (-1)^{|a_r\cdots a_2||a_1|+|b_r\cdots b_2||a_r\cdots a_1|+|a_r\cdots a_1||b_r\cdots b_1|}A\circ B\ \ (\text{ for } a_1=b_1)\\
&= (-1)w\ \ (\text{for } |a_1|=|b_1|=1).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathbf{char}k \neq 2$, we have $w \to 0$. ∎

**Lemma 2.7.** *For any word $w \in GDN(X)_{sup}$, we have $w = \sum \alpha_t w_t$, where each $w_j$ is a GDN tableau such that $r(w_j) \geq r(w), |w_j|_X = |w|_X$.*

**Proof.** Induction on $|w|_X$. If $|w|_X = 1$ or 2, then the result is clear. Suppose that the result holds for any $w$ with $|w|_X < t$. Let $|w|_X = t \geq 3$. Induction on $|w|_X - r(w)$. If $|w|_X - r(w) = 1$, then $r(w) = t-1$. By Lemma 2.4, we get $w = [a_1 \circ a_2 \circ \cdots \circ a_t]_L$. By right commutativity, we are done. If $|w|_X - r(w) > 1$, then $w = u \circ v$ for some words $u, v \in GDN(X)_{sup}$. By induction hypothesis, we have $u = \sum \alpha_i u_i, v = \sum \beta_j v_j$, where $\alpha_i, \beta_j \in k$ and $u_i, v_j$ satisfy the claimed conditions.

Then $r(u_i \circ v_j) \geq r(u_i \circ v) \geq r(u \circ v) = r(w)$ by Lemma 2.3. Say $u_i = [a \circ A_1 \circ A_2 \circ \cdots \circ A_p]_L$, $v_j = [b \circ B_1 \circ B_2 \circ \cdots \circ B_q]_L$, where $p, q \geq 0$ and each $A_m, B_{m'}$ is a row.

If $|u_i|_X > 1$, then induction on $q$. If $q = 0$ or 1, then by right commutativity, Lemmas 2.2, 2.5, 2.6 and induction hypothesis, we are done. If $q > 1$, then $u_i \circ v_j = [a \circ A_1 \circ A_2 \circ \cdots \circ A_{p-1} \circ v_j \circ A_p]_L$. By induction hypothesis, $[a \circ A_1 \circ A_2 \circ \cdots \circ A_{p-1} \circ v_j]_L = \sum \alpha'_l u'_l, r(u'_l) \geq p-1+q$. So $r(u'_l \circ A_p) \geq p+q = r(u_i \circ v_j) \geq r(w)$. Since $|u'_l|_X > 1, r(A_p) = 1$, we get the result.

If $|u_i|_X = 1$, then $|v_j|_X \geq 2, q \geq 1$. If $q = 1$, by Lemma 2.5 and induction hypothesis, we are done. If $q > 1$, then $u_i \circ v_j = (u_i \circ [b \circ B_1 \circ B_2 \circ \cdots \circ B_{q-1}]_L) \circ B_q + (-1)^{|u_i||[b\circ B_1 \circ B_2 \circ \cdots \circ B_{q-1}]_L|}[b \circ B_1 \circ B_2 \circ \cdots \circ B_{q-1}]_L \circ (u_i \circ B_q) - (-1)^{|u_i||[b\circ B_1 \circ B_2 \circ \cdots \circ B_{q-1}]_L|}[b \circ$

$B_1 \circ B_2 \circ \cdots \circ B_{q-1} \circ u_i \circ B_q]_L$. By induction hypothesis and the above reasoning for the case $|u_i|_X > 1$, we are done. ∎

**Lemma 2.8.** *For any GDN tableau $w \in GDN(X)_{sup}$, we have $w = \sum \alpha_t w_t$, where each $w_j$ is a GDN supertableau such that $r(w_j) \geq r(w), |w_j|_X = |w|_X$, i.e., the set of all the GDN supertableaux over $X = X_0 \cup X_1$ forms a linear generating set of the free GDN superalgebra $GDN(X)_{sup}$.*

**Proof.** Induction on $|w|_X$. If $|w|_X = 1$ or 2, then $w$ is already a GDN supertableau. Suppose that the result holds for any $w$ with $|w|_X < t$. Let $|w|_X = t \geq 3$. Induction on $|w|_X - r(w)$. If $|w|_X - r(w) = 1$, then $r(w) = t - 1$. By Lemma 2.4, we may assume $w = [a_{1,2} \circ a_{1,1} \circ a_{2,1} \cdots \circ a_{t-2,1} \circ a_{t-1,1}]_L$. If there is some $1 \leq i \leq t-2$, such that $a_{i,1} = a_{i+1,1}$ and $|a_{i,1}| = 1$, then by right commutativity, we have $w = 0$. Otherwise, $w$ is a GDN supertableau. Suppose that the result holds for any $w$ with $|w|_X < t$ or $|w|_X = t, |w|_X - r(w) < l$. Let $|w|_X = t, |w|_X - r(w) = l$ and $w = [a_{1,r_1+1} \circ A_1 \circ A_2 \circ \cdots \circ A_n]_L$, where $A_i = [a_{i,r_i} \circ \cdots \circ a_{i,2} \circ a_{i,1}]_R, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n$.

We first show that if there exist some $a_{i,j} = a_{p,q}, |a_{i,j}| = 1, j, q \geq 2$, then $w \rightsquigarrow 0$. By Lemma 2.7, it may be one of the following two cases.

Case 1. $a_{i,j} = a_{i,j+1}, |a_{i,j}| = 1, j \geq 2$. Then $[a_{i,j+1} \circ a_{i,j} \circ \cdots \circ a_{i,2} \circ a_{i,1}]_R = (a_{i,j+1} \circ a_{i,j}) \circ [a_{i,j-1} \circ \cdots \circ a_{i,2} \circ a_{i,1}]_R$. By Lemmas 2.2, 2.3 and induction hypothesis, we are done.

Case 2. $a_{i,2} = a_{i+1,r_{i+1}}, |a_{i,2}| = 1, r_{i+1} \geq 2$. Then by Lemmas 2.2, 2.3 and since $a_{i,2} \circ A_{i+1} = (a_{i,2} \circ a_{i+1,r_{i+1}}) \circ (A_{i+1})_{\hat{a}_{i+1,r_{i+1}}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} w &\rightsquigarrow [a_{1,r_1+1} \circ A_i \circ A_{i+1} \circ A_1 \circ \cdots \circ A_{i-1} \circ A_{i+2} \circ \cdots \circ A_n]_L \\ &\rightsquigarrow [a_{i,2} \circ (A_i)_{a_{i,2} \mapsto a_{1,r_1+1}} \circ A_{i+1} \circ A_1 \circ \cdots \circ A_{i-1} \circ A_{i+2} \circ \cdots \circ A_n]_L \\ &\rightsquigarrow [a_{i,2} \circ A_{i+1} \circ (A_i)_{a_{i,2} \mapsto a_{1,r_1+1}} \circ A_1 \circ \cdots \circ A_{i-1} \circ A_{i+2} \circ \cdots \circ A_n]_L \\ &\rightsquigarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

So $w$ can be written as a linear combination of words that have larger root numbers, which by induction hypothesis, can be written as linear combinations of GDN supertableaux.

If $a_{i,1} = a_{i+1,1}, r_i = r_{i+1}, |a_{i,1}| = 1$, then by Lemma 2.6, we get $w \rightsquigarrow [a_{1,r_1+1} \circ A_i \circ A_{i+1} \circ A_1 \circ \cdots \circ A_{i-1} \circ A_{i+2} \circ \cdots \circ A_n]_L \rightsquigarrow 0$. Now the result follows. ∎

**Theorem 1.** *The set of all the GDN supertableaux over $X = X_0 \cup X_1$ forms a linear basis of the free GDN superalgebra $GDN(X)_{sup}$.*

**Proof.** By Lemma 2.8, it is enough to show that the GDN supertableaux are linear independent. Let $(k\{X\}_{sup}, \circ)$ be as before. Now we show that the GDN superalgebra homomorphism $\varphi : \ GDN(X)_{sup} \longrightarrow (k\{X\}_{sup}, \circ)$ induced by $\varphi(a) = a, a \in X$, is injective. Given any GDN supertableau $w$ of the form (1), it is easy to see that

$$\overline{\varphi(w)} = D^{r_1}a_{1,1}D^{r_2}a_{2,1}\cdots D^{r_n}a_{n,1}a_{1,r_1+1}a_{1,r_1}\cdots a_{1,2}a_{2,r_2}\cdots a_{2,2}\cdots a_{n,r_n}\cdots a_{n,2},$$

where $\overline{\varphi(w)}$ is the leading word of $\varphi(w)$ (without coefficient).

Therefore, for any GDN supertableaux $u, v$, if $u \neq v$, then $\varphi(u) \neq \varphi(v)$. Suppose that $\sum \alpha_i u_i = 0$, where each $\alpha_i \in k \setminus \{0\}$, each $u_i$ is a GDN supertableau with $u_i \neq u_j$, if $i \neq j$. By the above reasoning, we may assume that $\overline{\varphi(u_1)} > \overline{\varphi(u_2)} > \overline{\varphi(u_3)} \cdots$. Then $\overline{\sum \alpha_i \varphi(u_i)} = \overline{\varphi(u_1)}$, which contradicts to the fact that $\varphi$ is a homomorphism. ∎

# 3 Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt Theorem

Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_0 \oplus \mathcal{A}_1$ be a superalgebra and $S \subseteq \mathcal{A}$. We call $S$ *homogeneous*, if for any $f \in S$, we have $f \in \mathcal{A}_0 \cup \mathcal{A}_1$. Let $S$ be a homogeneous subset of $k\{X\}_{sup}$, $Id[S]$ be the ideal of $k\{X\}_{sup}$ generated by $S$ and

$$k\{X|S\}_{sup} := k\{X\}_{sup}/Id[S]$$

the commutative associative differential superalgebra generated by $X = X_0 \cup X_1$ with defining relations $S$. Since $S$ is homogeneous and $Id[S]$ is closed under $\cdot$ and the derivation $D$, we have

$$Id[S] = span_k\{uD^t s \mid u \in [D^\omega X], t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, s \in S\}.$$

Recall that $(k\{X\}_{sup}, \circ)$ becomes a GDN superalgebra with respect to $f \circ g = f \cdot Dg, \ \ f, g \in k\{X\}_{sup}$, and

$$GDN_0(X)_{sup} := span_k\{w \in [D^\omega X] \mid wt(w) = 0\}$$

is a subalgebra of $(k\{X\}_{sup}, \circ)$ (as GDN superalgebra). In particular, $(Id[S], \circ)$ is an ideal of $(k\{X\}_{sup}, \circ)$.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let $\varphi : GDN(X)_{sup} \longrightarrow GDN_0(X)_{sup}$ be the GDN superalgebra homomorphism induced by $\varphi(a) = a, a \in X$. Then $\varphi$ is an isomorphism.*

**Proof.** It is clear that $\varphi(GDN(X)_{sup}) \subseteq GDN_0(X)_{sup}$. By the proof of Theorem 1, we get $\varphi$ is injective. So we only need to show that for any $w \in [D^\omega X]$ with $wt(w) = 0$, we have $w \in \varphi(GDN(X)_{sup})$. Since $wt(w) = 0$, we have

$$w = D^{r_1}a_{1,1} \cdots D^{r_n}a_{n,1}a_{1,r_1+1} \cdots a_{1,2} \cdots a_{n,r_n} \cdots a_{n,2}$$

for some $r_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, r_i > 0, a_{i,j} \in X$. Let $u = [a_{1,r_1+1} \circ A_1 \circ A_2 \circ \cdots \circ A_n]_L$, where $A_i = [a_{i,r_i} \circ \cdots \circ a_{i,2} \circ a_{i,1}]_R$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then we have $\overline{\varphi(u)} = w$, so $w - \varepsilon\varphi(u) \in \varphi(GDN(X)_{sup})$, for some $\varepsilon \in \{1, -1\}$ and $\overline{w - \varepsilon\varphi(u)} < w$. Since $<$ is a well order on $[D^\omega X]$, the result follows. ∎

**Corollary 3.1.** *If $X = X_1, |X| < \infty$, then $GDN(X)_{sup}$ is nilpotent. In particular, for any GDN superalgebra $\mathcal{A}$, if it is finitely generated by elements of $\mathcal{A}_1$, then $\mathcal{A}$ is nilpotent.*

**Proof.** By Lemma 3.1, we have $GDN(X)_{sup} \cong GDN_0(X)_{sup}$. For any GDN supertableau $w$, we have $\varphi(w) = \sum \alpha_i u_i$ with each $|u_i|_X = |w|_X$ and $wt(u_i) = 0$. So $u_i = D^{r_1}a_1 \cdots D^{r_n}a_n D b_1 \cdots D b_t c_1 \cdots c_m$ for some $a_i, b_j, c_l \in X_1$, such that $r_1 \geq r_2 \geq \cdots \geq r_n \geq 2$, $(r_1 - 1) + (r_2 - 1) + \cdots + (r_n - 1) = m - 1$. Let $l_i = r_i - 1$, then $l_1 \geq l_2 \geq \cdots \geq l_n \geq 1$ and $n \leq l_1 + l_2 + \cdots + l_n = m - 1$.

So if $|w|_X > 3|X_1|$, then $t > |X_1|$ or $m > |X_1|$, both of which imply that $\varphi(w) = 0$. ∎

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let $S$ be a homogeneous subset of $GDN_0(X)_{sup}$ and $Id(S)$ be the ideal of $(GDN_0(X)_{sup}, \circ)$ generated by $S$. Then*

$$Id(S) = span_k\{uD^t s \mid u \in [D^\omega X], t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, s \in S, wt(\overline{uD^t s}) = 0\}.$$

**Proof.** It is clear that the right part is an ideal that contains $S$, for $S$ is homogeneous. We just need to show that $uD^t s \in Id(S)$ whenever $wt(\overline{uD^t s}) = 0$. Suppose $wt(\overline{uD^t s}) = 0$. Then

$$uD^t s = \varepsilon D^{r_1}c_1 \cdots D^{r_n}c_n a_1 \cdots a_m D^t s b_1 \cdots b_t,$$

where $u = D^{r_1}c_1 \cdots D^{r_n}c_n a_1 \cdots a_m b_1 \cdots b_t$, $m = r_1 + \cdots + r_n - n$ and $r_n \geq r_{n-1} \geq \cdots \geq r_1 \geq 1, \varepsilon \in \{1, -1\}$. So the lemma will be clear if we show

(*i*) $D^t s b_1 \cdots b_t \in Id(S)$ whenever $s \in S$;

(*ii*) $D^r c a_1 \cdots a_{r-1} f \in Id(S)$ whenever $f \in Id(S)$ and $f$ is homogeneous.

To prove (*i*), we use induction on $t$. If $t = 0$, it is clear. Suppose that it holds for all $t \leq p$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& (D^{p+1}s)b_1 \cdots b_{p+1} \\
= \; & (-1)^{|b_{p+1}||sb_1\cdots b_p|} b_{p+1}(D^{p+1}s)b_1 \cdots b_p \\
= \; & (-1)^{|b_{p+1}||sb_1\cdots b_p|} b_{p+1} \circ ((D^p s)b_1 \cdots b_p) \\
& -(-1)^{|b_{p+1}||sb_1\cdots b_p|} b_{p+1} \cdot \sum_{1\leq i\leq p} (D^p s)b_1 \cdots (Db_i) \cdots b_p \\
= \; & (-1)^{|b_{p+1}||sb_1\cdots b_p|} b_{p+1} \circ ((D^p s)b_1 \cdots b_p) \\
& -(-1)^{|b_i||b_{i+1}\cdots b_{p+1}|} \sum_{1\leq i\leq p} ((D^p s)b_1 \cdots b_{i-1}b_{i+1} \cdots b_{p+1}) \circ b_i) \\
\in \; & Id(S).
\end{aligned}$$

To prove (*ii*), we use induction on $r$. If $r = 1$, it is clear. Suppose that it holds for all $r \leq p$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& D^{p+1} c a_1 \cdots a_p f \\
= \; & (-1)^{|ca_1\cdots a_p||f|} f D^{p+1} c a_1 \cdots a_p \\
= \; & (-1)^{|ca_1\cdots a_p||f|} f \circ (D^p c a_1 \cdots a_p) \\
& -(-1)^{|ca_1\cdots a_p||f|} f \cdot \sum_{1\leq i\leq p} D^p c a_1 \cdots Da_i \cdots a_p \\
= \; & (-1)^{|ca_1\cdots a_p||f|} f \circ (D^p c a_1 \cdots a_p) \\
& -(-1)^{|ca_1\cdots a_p||f|+|a_i||a_{i+1}\cdots a_p|} (f \cdot \sum_{1\leq i\leq p} D^p c a_1 \cdots \hat{a}_i \cdots a_p) \circ a_i \\
\in \; & Id(S).
\end{aligned}$$

So $Id(S) = span_k\{uD^t s \mid u \in [D^\omega X], t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, s \in S, wt(\overline{uD^t s}) = 0\}$. ∎

We then have the following Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem.

**Theorem 2.** *Any GDN superalgebra $GDN(X|S)_{sup}$ can be embedded into its universal enveloping commutative associative differential superalgebra $k\{X|\varphi S\}_{sup}$, where $\varphi$ :*

*$GDN(X)_{sup} \longrightarrow GDN_0(X)_{sup}$ is the GDN superalgebra homomorphism induced by $\varphi(a) = a, a \in X$.*

**Proof.** By Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, we get $GDN(X)_{sup} \cong GDN_0(X)_{sup}$. Moreover,

$$GDN(X|S)_{sup} \cong GDN_0(X|\varphi S)_{sup} := \frac{GDN_0(X)_{sup}}{Id(\varphi S)} = \frac{(GDN_0(X)_{sup}, \circ)}{(Id[\varphi S], \circ) \cap (GDN_0(X)_{sup}, \circ)}$$

and

$$\frac{(GDN_0(X)_{sup}, \circ)}{(Id[\varphi S], \circ) \cap (GDN_0(X)_{sup}, \circ)} \cong \frac{(GDN_0(X)_{sup}, \circ) + (Id[\varphi S], \circ)}{(Id[\varphi S], \circ)} \leq \frac{(k\{X\}_{sup}, \circ)}{(Id[\varphi S], \circ)} = k\{X|\varphi S\}_{sup}.$$

∎

# 4 Engel Theorem

In this section, we assume that **char** $k = 0$. Let $(\mathcal{A}, \circ)$ be an algebra, $x_i, x \in \mathcal{A}$. Define $(x_1 \circ x_2 \circ \cdots \circ x_n)$ to be an element in $\mathcal{A}$ with any bracketing and $x_{_L}^n := [\underbrace{x \circ x \circ \cdots \circ x}_{n \text{ times}}]_L$. Call $\mathcal{A}$ right-nil if there is some $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, such that $x_{_L}^n = 0$ for any $x \in \mathcal{A}$.

Let $V, V_1, \cdots, V_n$ be subspaces of $\mathcal{A}$. Define

$$[V_1 \circ V_2 \circ \cdots \circ V_n]_L := span_k\{[x_1 \circ x_2 \circ \cdots \circ x_n]_{_L} \mid x_i \in V_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\},$$

$$V^n := span_k\{(x_1 \circ x_2 \circ \cdots \circ x_n) \mid x_i \in V, 1 \leq i \leq n, \},$$

$$V_1 \circ V_2 := span_k\{x_1 \circ x_2 \mid x_i \in V_i, i = 1, 2\},$$

$$V_{_L}^n := [V \circ V \circ \cdots \circ V]_L.$$

We call an algebra $\mathcal{A}$ right-nilpotent if $\mathcal{A}_{_L}^n = 0$ for some $n$, and $\mathcal{A}$ nilpotent if $\mathcal{A}^n = 0$ for some $n$.

By a similar proof as in the paper [12], we have the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a GDN superalgebra. Then for any $n \geq 1$, $\mathcal{A}_{_L}^n$ forms an ideal of $\mathcal{A}$ and $(A^2)^n \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{_L}^{n+1}$.*

**Proof.** To show that $\mathcal{A}_{L}^{n}$ forms an ideal of $\mathcal{A}$, we use induction on $n$. If $n=1$, it is clear. Suppose that the result holds for any $n<t$. Let $n=t\geq 2$. Then $\mathcal{A}_{L}^{t}\circ\mathcal{A}\subseteq\mathcal{A}_{L}^{t+1}\subseteq\mathcal{A}_{L}^{t}$ and $\mathcal{A}\circ\mathcal{A}_{L}^{t}\subseteq(\mathcal{A}\circ\mathcal{A}_{L}^{t-1})\circ\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{A}_{L}^{t-1}\circ(\mathcal{A}\circ\mathcal{A})+(\mathcal{A}_{L}^{t-1}\circ\mathcal{A})\circ\mathcal{A}\subseteq\mathcal{A}_{L}^{t}$ by induction.

To show $(A^2)^n\subseteq\mathcal{A}_{L}^{n+1}$, we use induction on $n$. If $n=1$, it is clear. Suppose that the result holds for any $n<t$. Let $n=t\geq 2$. Then $(A^2)^t=\sum_{1\leq i\leq t-1}(A^2)^i\circ(A^2)^{t-i}\subseteq\sum_{1\leq i\leq t-1}\mathcal{A}_{L}^{i+1}\circ\mathcal{A}_{L}^{t-i+1}=\sum_{1\leq i\leq t-1}[\mathcal{A}\circ\mathcal{A}_{L}^{t-i+1}\circ\underbrace{\mathcal{A}\circ\cdots\circ\mathcal{A}}_{i\text{ times}}]_{L}\subseteq\mathcal{A}_{L}^{t+1}$. ∎

**Lemma 4.2.** *If there exists $n\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that for any element $a\in\mathcal{A}_0$, $a_{L}^{n}=0$, then $(\mathcal{A}_0)_{L}^{n+1}=0$.*

**Proof.** Since $\mathcal{A}_0$ is a GDN algebra, the lemma follows from Lemmas 6 and 7 in [12]. Here we offer a sketch of the proof. For any $a_i\in\mathcal{A}_0, 1\leq i\leq t$, denote

$$S(a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_t)=\sum_{\sigma\in S_t}[a_{\sigma(1)}\circ a_{\sigma(2)}\circ\cdots\circ a_{\sigma(t)}]_{L},\ S_t \text{ is the symmetric group of order } t.$$

Then we get

$$(a_1+a_2+\cdots+a_t)_{L}^{t}-S(a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_t)=\sum_{\varnothing\neq\{i_1,i_2,\cdots i_r\}\subsetneq\{1,\ldots,t\}}(-1)^{t-r+1}(a_{i_1}+\cdots+a_{i_t})_{L}^{t}.$$

Therefore, $S(a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_t)=0$ for any $a_1,\ldots,a_t\in\mathcal{A}_0, t\geq n$. Moreover, using right commutativity, it is straightforward to show that

$$S(a_1,\ldots,a_{t+1})=tS(a_2,\ldots,a_{t+1})\circ a_1+t![a_1\circ a_2\circ\cdots\circ a_{t+1}]_{L}.$$

Since the characteristic of the field is 0, we have $[a_1\circ a_2\circ\cdots\circ a_{t+1}]_{L}=0$ for any $t\geq n+1$. ∎

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let $R\subset GDN(X_0\cup X_1)_{sup}$, $\mathcal{A}=GDN(X_0\cup X_1|R)_{sup}$. Then*

$$((\mathcal{A}_0\circ\mathcal{A}_1)\circ\mathcal{A}_1)\circ\mathcal{A}_1\subseteq(\mathcal{A}_0\circ\mathcal{A}_1)\circ\mathcal{A}_0+((\mathcal{A}_0\circ\mathcal{A}_1)\circ\mathcal{A}_1)\circ kX_1.$$

**Proof.** We only need to show that for any $a\in\mathcal{A}_0, w_1,w_2,w_3\in\mathcal{A}_1$, we have $((a\circ w_1)\circ w_2)\circ w_3\in(\mathcal{A}_0\circ\mathcal{A}_1)\circ\mathcal{A}_0+((\mathcal{A}_0\circ\mathcal{A}_1)\circ\mathcal{A}_1)\circ kX_1$. Induction on $|w_1|_X$. If $|w_1|_X=1$, we are done. Suppose that the result holds for any $w_1$ with $|w_1|_X<n$. Let $|w_1|_X=n>1$ and $w_1=w_{11}\circ w_{12}$. Then $|w_{12}|_X<n$. If $w_{12}\in\mathcal{A}_1$, then by induction hypothesis, we have

$((a\circ w_{12})\circ(w_{11}\circ w_2))\circ w_3 \in (\mathcal{A}_0\circ\mathcal{A}_1)\circ\mathcal{A}_0+((\mathcal{A}_0\circ\mathcal{A}_1)\circ\mathcal{A}_1)\circ kX_1$, for $w_{12}, w_{11}\circ w_2, w_3 \in \mathcal{A}_1$, $|w_{12}|_X < n$.

If $w_{11} \in \mathcal{A}_1, w_{12} \in \mathcal{A}_0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
& ((a \circ w_1) \circ w_2) \circ w_3 \\
= \; & ((a \circ (w_{11} \circ w_{12})) \circ w_2) \circ w_3 \\
= \; & (((a \circ w_{11}) \circ w_{12}) \circ w_2) \circ w_3 + ((w_{11} \circ (a \circ w_{12})) \circ w_2) \circ w_3 \\
& -(((w_{11} \circ a) \circ w_{12}) \circ w_2) \circ w_3 \\
= \; & (((a \circ w_{11}) \circ w_2) \circ w_3) \circ w_{12} + ((w_{11} \circ w_2) \circ w_3) \circ (a \circ w_{12}) \\
& -(((w_{11} \circ a) \circ w_2) \circ w_3) \circ w_{12} \\
\in \; & (\mathcal{A}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}_1) \circ \mathcal{A}_0.
\end{aligned}$$

If $w_{11} \in \mathcal{A}_0, w_{12} \in \mathcal{A}_1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
& ((a \circ w_1) \circ w_2) \circ w_3 \\
= \; & ((a \circ (w_{11} \circ w_{12})) \circ w_2) \circ w_3 \\
= \; & (((a \circ w_{11}) \circ w_{12}) \circ w_2) \circ w_3 - (((w_{11} \circ a) \circ w_{12})) \circ w_2) \circ w_3 \\
& +((w_{11} \circ (a \circ w_{12})) \circ w_2) \circ w_3 \\
= \; & (((a \circ w_{12}) \circ w_2) \circ w_3) \circ w_{11} - (((w_{11} \circ w_{12}) \circ w_2) \circ w_3) \circ a \\
& +((w_{11} \circ (a \circ w_{12})) \circ w_2) \circ w_3 \\
= \; & (((a \circ w_{12}) \circ w_2) \circ w_3) \circ w_{11} - (((w_{11} \circ w_{12}) \circ w_2) \circ w_3) \circ a \\
& +(w_{11} \circ ((a \circ w_{12}) \circ w_2)) \circ w_3 + (((a \circ w_{12}) \circ w_{11}) \circ w_2) \circ w_3 \\
& -((a \circ w_{12}) \circ (w_{11} \circ w_2)) \circ w_3 \\
= \; & (((a \circ w_{12}) \circ w_2) \circ w_3) \circ w_{11} - (((w_{11} \circ w_{12}) \circ w_2) \circ w_3) \circ a \\
& +(w_{11} \circ w_3) \circ ((a \circ w_{12}) \circ w_2)) + (((a \circ w_{12}) \circ w_2) \circ w_3) \circ w_{11} \\
& -((a \circ w_{12}) \circ (w_{11} \circ w_2)) \circ w_3 \\
\in \; & (\mathcal{A}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}_1) \circ \mathcal{A}_0 + ((\mathcal{A}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}_1) \circ \mathcal{A}_1) \circ kX_1.
\end{aligned}$$

∎

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let $R \subset GDN(X_0 \cup X_1)_{sup}$, $\mathcal{A} = GDN(X_0 \cup X_1|R)_{sup}$. Then for any*

$q \geq 1$, *we have*

$$[\mathcal{A}_0 \circ \underbrace{\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathcal{A}_1 \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{A}_1}_{q \text{ times}}]_L \subseteq \sum_{2t+m+p=q, t,m\geq 0, p\in\{1,2\}} [\mathcal{A}_0 \circ \underbrace{\mathcal{A}_0 \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{A}_0}_{t \text{ times}} \circ \underbrace{kX_1 \circ \cdots \circ kX_1}_{m \text{ times}} \circ \underbrace{\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathcal{A}_1}_{p \text{ times}}]_L.$$

**Proof.** Induction on $q$. If $q \leq 2$, we are done. If $q = 3$, then by right commutativity and Lemma 4.3, we are done. Suppose that the result holds for any natural number $< q$, $q \geq 4$. Then by Lemma 4.3 and using right commutativity, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& [\mathcal{A}_0 \circ \underbrace{\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{A}_1}_{q \text{ times}}]_L \\
\subseteq\ & [\mathcal{A}_0 \circ \underbrace{\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{A}_1}_{q-2 \text{ times}} \circ \mathcal{A}_0]_L + [\mathcal{A}_0 \circ \underbrace{\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{A}_1}_{q-1 \text{ times}} \circ kX_1]_L \\
\subseteq\ & \sum_{2t+m+p=q-2, t,m\geq 0, p\in\{1,2\}} [\mathcal{A}_0 \circ \underbrace{\mathcal{A}_0 \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{A}_0}_{t \text{ times}} \circ \underbrace{kX_1 \circ \cdots \circ kX_1}_{m \text{ times}} \circ \underbrace{\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathcal{A}_1}_{p \text{ times}} \circ \mathcal{A}_0]_L \\
& + \sum_{2t+m+p=q-1, t,m\geq 0, p\in\{1,2\}} [\mathcal{A}_0 \circ \underbrace{\mathcal{A}_0 \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{A}_0}_{t \text{ times}} \circ \underbrace{kX_1 \circ \cdots \circ kX_1}_{m \text{ times}} \circ \underbrace{\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathcal{A}_1}_{p \text{ times}} \circ kX_1]_L \\
\subseteq\ & \sum_{2t+m+p=q, t,m\geq 0, p\in\{1,2\}} [\mathcal{A}_0 \circ \underbrace{\mathcal{A}_0 \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{A}_0}_{t \text{ times}} \circ \underbrace{kX_1 \circ \cdots \circ kX_1}_{m \text{ times}} \circ \underbrace{\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathcal{A}_1}_{p \text{ times}}]_L.
\end{aligned}$$

∎

We then have the following Engel theorem.

**Theorem 3.** *Let* $\mathcal{A} = GDN(X_0 \cup X_1|R)_{sup} = \mathcal{A}_0 \oplus \mathcal{A}_1$, *where* $R \subset GDN(X_0 \cup X_1)_{sup}$, $|X_1| < \infty$. *Suppose there exists a natural number* $n$ *such that for any* $a \in \mathcal{A}_0, a_L^n = 0$. *Then there exists* $q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ *such that* $\mathcal{A}_L^q = 0$. *In particular,* $(\mathcal{A}^2)^{q-1} = 0$.

**Proof.** By Lemma 4.2, we have $(\mathcal{A}_0)_L^{n+1} = 0$. Let $n_0 = max\{|X_1|, n+1\}$ and $q = 3n_0+3$. To show that $\mathcal{A}_L^q = 0$, we only need to show that $[\mathcal{A}_0 \circ \underbrace{\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{A}_1}_{j \text{ times}} \circ \underbrace{\mathcal{A}_0 \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{A}_0}_{q-2-j \text{ times}}]_L = 0$ for any $0 \leq j \leq q-2$, and $[\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \underbrace{\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{A}_1}_{j \text{ times}} \circ \underbrace{\mathcal{A}_0 \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{A}_0}_{q-1-j \text{ times}}]_L = 0$ for any $0 \leq j \leq q-1$.

We first show that $[\mathcal{A}_0 \circ \underbrace{\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{A}_1}_{j \text{ times}} \circ \underbrace{\mathcal{A}_0 \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{A}_0}_{q-2-j \text{ times}}]_L = 0$ for any $0 \leq j \leq q-2$.

If $j=0$, then $(\mathcal{A}_0)_L^{q-1}=0$ by Lemma 4.2. For any $1\le j\le q-2$, by Lemma 4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&[\mathcal{A}_0\circ\underbrace{\mathcal{A}_1\circ\cdots\circ\mathcal{A}_1}_{j\text{ times}}\circ\ \underbrace{\mathcal{A}_0\circ\cdots\circ\mathcal{A}_0}_{q-2-j\text{ times}}\ ]_L\\ \subseteq&\sum_{2t+m+p=j,t,m\ge0,p\in\{1,2\}}[\mathcal{A}_0\circ\ \underbrace{\mathcal{A}_0\circ\cdots\circ\mathcal{A}_0}_{t+q-2-j\text{ times}}\ \circ\underbrace{kX_1\circ\cdots\circ kX_1}_{m\text{ times}}\circ\underbrace{\mathcal{A}_1\circ\mathcal{A}_1}_{p\text{ times}}]_L.\end{aligned}$$

If $m>n_0$, then $[\mathcal{A}_0\circ\ \underbrace{\mathcal{A}_0\circ\cdots\circ\mathcal{A}_0}_{t+q-2-j\text{ times}}\ \circ\underbrace{kX_1\circ\cdots\circ kX_1}_{m\text{ times}}\circ\underbrace{\mathcal{A}_1\circ\mathcal{A}_1}_{p\text{ times}}]_L=0$. So we may assume that $m\le n_0$. Then $t=\frac{1}{2}(j-m-p)$ and $t+q-2-j=\frac{1}{2}(j-m-p)+q-j-2=\frac{1}{2}(-m-p+q)+\frac{1}{2}(q-j)-2\ge\frac{1}{2}(-n_0-2+3n_0+3)-2=n_0-\frac{3}{2}$. Since $t+q-2-j\in\mathbb{Z}_{\ge0}$, we have $t+q-2-j\ge n_0-1$. So $[\mathcal{A}_0\circ\underbrace{\mathcal{A}_1\circ\cdots\circ\mathcal{A}_1}_{j\text{ times}}\circ\ \underbrace{\mathcal{A}_0\circ\cdots\circ\mathcal{A}_0}_{q-2-j\text{ times}}\ ]_L=0$ for any $0\le j\le q-2$.

Now we show that $[\mathcal{A}_1\circ\underbrace{\mathcal{A}_1\circ\cdots\circ\mathcal{A}_1}_{j\text{ times}}\circ\ \underbrace{\mathcal{A}_0\circ\cdots\circ\mathcal{A}_0}_{q-1-j\text{ times}}\ ]_L=0$ for any $0\le j\le q-1$. If $j\ge1$, then $[\mathcal{A}_1\circ\underbrace{\mathcal{A}_1\circ\cdots\circ\mathcal{A}_1}_{j\text{ times}}\circ\ \underbrace{\mathcal{A}_0\circ\cdots\circ\mathcal{A}_0}_{q-1-j\text{ times}}\ ]_L\subseteq[\mathcal{A}_0\circ\underbrace{\mathcal{A}_1\circ\cdots\circ\mathcal{A}_1}_{j-1\text{ times}}\circ\ \underbrace{\mathcal{A}_0\circ\cdots\circ\mathcal{A}_0}_{q-2-(j-1)\text{ times}}\ ]_L$

$= 0$ by the above reasoning. If $j = 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
& [\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \underbrace{\mathcal{A}_0 \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{A}_0}_{q-1 \text{ times}}]_L \\
\subseteq\ & [\mathcal{A}_1 \circ (\mathcal{A}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}_0) \circ \underbrace{\mathcal{A}_0 \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{A}_0}_{q-3 \text{ times}}]_L + [\mathcal{A}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}_1 \circ \underbrace{\mathcal{A}_0 \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{A}_0}_{q-2 \text{ times}}]_L \\
& + [\mathcal{A}_0 \circ (\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathcal{A}_0) \circ \underbrace{\mathcal{A}_0 \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{A}_0}_{q-3 \text{ times}}]_L \\
\subseteq\ & [\mathcal{A}_1 \circ (\mathcal{A}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}_0) \circ \underbrace{\mathcal{A}_0 \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{A}_0}_{q-3 \text{ times}}]_L \\
\subseteq\ & [\mathcal{A}_1 \circ ((\mathcal{A}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}_0) \circ \mathcal{A}_0) \circ \underbrace{\mathcal{A}_0 \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{A}_0}_{q-4 \text{ times}}]_L + [\mathcal{A}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}_1 \circ \underbrace{\mathcal{A}_0 \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{A}_0}_{q-3 \text{ times}}]_L \\
& + [(\mathcal{A}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}_0) \circ (\mathcal{A}_1 \circ \mathcal{A}_0) \circ \underbrace{\mathcal{A}_0 \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{A}_0}_{q-3 \text{ times}}]_L \\
\subseteq\ & [\mathcal{A}_1 \circ ((\mathcal{A}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}_0) \circ \mathcal{A}_0) \circ \underbrace{\mathcal{A}_0 \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{A}_0}_{q-4 \text{ times}}]_L \\
& \cdots \\
\subseteq\ & [\mathcal{A}_1 \circ [\mathcal{A}_0 \circ \underbrace{\mathcal{A}_0 \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{A}_0}_{n_0-1 \text{ times}}]_L \circ \underbrace{\mathcal{A}_0 \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{A}_0}_{q-n_0-1 \text{ times}}]_L \\
=\ & 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The theorem follows. ∎

# References


[1] C. Bai and D. Meng, The classification of Novikov algebras in low dimensions, J. Phys. A 34(8) (2001) 1581-1594.

[2] C. Bai and D. Meng, Transitive Novikov algebras on four-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebras, Internat. J. Theoret. Phys. 40(10) (2001) 1761-1768.

[3] A.A. Balinskii and S.P. Novikov, Poisson brackets of hydrodynamics type. Frobenius algebras and Lie algebras (Russian), Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 283(5) (1985) 1036-1039.

[4] L.A. Bokut, Yuqun Chen and Jiapeng Huang, Gröbner-Shirshov bases for $L$-algebras, Internat. J. Algebra Comput. 23(3) (2013) 547-571.

[5] L.A. Bokut, Yuqun Chen and Zerui Zhang, Gröbner-Shirshov bases method for Gelfand-Dorfman-Novikov algebras, Journal of Algebra and Its Applications, 16(1) (2017) 1750001 (22 pages), DOI: 10.1142/S0219498817500013

[6] L.A. Bokut, Yuqun Chen and Zerui Zhang, On free Gelfand-Dorfman-Novikov-Poisson algebras and a PBW theorem, J. Algebra (2017) http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2016.12.006.

[7] D. Burde, Left-symmetric algebras, or pre-Lie algebras in geometry and physics, Central European J. of Mathematics 4 (3) (2006) 323-357.

[8] D. Burde and K. Dekimpe, Novikov structures on solvable Lie algebras, J. Geom. Phys. 56(9) (2006) 1837-1855.

[9] L. Chen, Y. Niu and D. Meng, Two kinds of Novikov algebras and their realizations, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 212(4) (2008) 902-909.

[10] Yuqun Chen, Yongshan Chen and Yu Li, Composition-diamond lemma for differential algebras, Arab. J. Sci. Eng. Sect. A Sci. 34(2) (2009) 135-145.

[11] A.S. Dzhumadil'daev and Clas Löfwall, Trees, free right-symmetric algebras, free Novikov algebras and identities, Homology Homotopy Appl. 4(2) (2002) 165-190.

[12] A.S. Dzhumadildaev and K.M. Tulenbaev, Engel theorem for Novikov algebras, Comm. Algebra 34(3) (2006) 883-888.

[13] V.T. Filippov, On right-symmetric and Novikov nil algebras of bounded index, (Russian. Russian summary) Mat. Zametki 70(2) (2001) 289-295; translation in Math. Notes 70(1-2) (2001) 258-263.

[14] I.M. Gelfand and I.Ya. Dorfman, Hamiltonian operators and algebraic structures related to them, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 13(4) (1979) 13-30.

[15] N. Jacobson, Basic Algebra II, second edition, W.H. Freeman and Company, New York, 1989.

[16] Y. Kang and Z. Chen, Novikov superalgebras in low dimensions, J. Nonlinear Math. Phys. 16(3) (2009) 251-257.

[17] I.M. Krichever, S.P. Novikov, Algebras of Virasoro type, Riemann surfaces and structures of the theory of solitons, Funktional Anal. i. Prilozhen 21(2) (1987) 46-63.

[18] I.M. Krichever, S.P. Novikov, Virasoro type algebras, Riemann surfaces and strings in Minkowski space, Funktional Anal. i. Prilozhen 21(4) (1987) 47-61.

[19] I.M. Krichever, S.P. Novikov, Algebras of Virasoro type, energy-momentum tensors and decompositions of operators on Riemann surfaces, Funktional Anal. i. Prilozhen 23(1) (1989) 46-63.

[20] S.P. Novikov, Geometry of conservative systems of hydrodynamic type. The averaging method for eld-theoretic systems, International conference on current problems in algebra and analysis (Moscow-Leningrad, 1984), Russian Mathematical Surveys, 40(4) (1985) 85-98.

[21] J.M. Osborn and E.I. Zelmanov, Nonassociative algebras related to Hamiltonian operators in the formal calculus of variations, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 101(3) (1995) 335-352.

[22] Y. Pei and C. Bai, Balinsky-Novikov superalgebras and some infinite-dimensional lie superalgebras, J. Algebra Appl. 11(6) (2012), 1250119, 29 pp.

[23] M. Schlichenmaier, KricheverCNovikov type algebras, Theory and applications, De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics 53, Walter de Gruyter Gmbh, Berlin/Boston, 2014, 360 p. ISBN 978-3-11-026517-0

[24] O.K. Sheinman, Current algebras on Riemann surfaces, De Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, 58, Walter de Gruyter GmbH, BerlinCBoston, 2012, ISBN: 978-3-11-026452-4, 150 p.

[25] X. Xu, On simple Novikov algebras and their irreducible modules, J. Algebra 185(3) (1996) 905-934.

[26] X. Xu, Quadratic Conformal Superalgebras, J. Algebra 231(1) (2000) 1-38.

[27] X. Xu, Variational calculus of supervariables and related algebraic structures, J. Algebra 223(2) (2000) 396-437.

[28] E.I. Zelmanov, On a class of local translation invariant Lie algebras, Soviet Math. Dokl. 35(1) (1987) 216-218.

[29] E.I. Zelmanov, On Engel Lie algebras, Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. [Siberian Math. J.] 29(5) (1988) 112-117.

[30] F. Zhu and Z. Chen, Novikov superalgebras with $\mathcal{A}_0 = \mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{A}_1$, Czechoslovak Math. J. 60(4) (2010) 903-907.